August 31, 2015

Achim Steiner
Executive Director
executiveoffice@unep.org

Ligia Noronha
Director, Division of Technology, Industry and Economics (DTIE)
unep.tie@unep.org

United Nations Environment Programme
United Nations Avenue, Gigiri
PO Box 30552, 00100
Nairobi, Kenya

Dear Mr. Steiner and Dr. Noronha,

At the one-year anniversary of the catastrophic failure of the tailings dam at the Mount Polley mine in British Columbia, Canada, and subsequent tailings dam disasters at mines in Mexico, we are writing to urge you to seriously consider the implications for tailings dam design and operational safety at hardrock mines around the world.

*Based on the findings and recommendations of the technical review panel of the Mount Polley tailings dam failure, we urge UNEP to push for states and mining companies to immediately address threats posed by similar tailings dams at existing and proposed mines around the world.*
In January, a panel of independent experts released its findings from its investigation of the Mount Polley tailings dam, a modern impoundment that breached on August 2014 and released 25 million cubic meters of tailings into the Fraser River watershed in British Columbia. The panel concluded that the dam failed because of a faulty design that did not account for the instability of the glacial till on which it was constructed. The failure was complicated by operational practices including storage of excess water in the facility and over-steepening of dam slopes.

The results of the Mount Polley investigation are of significant importance to mine management around the world for a number of reasons:

1) The Mount Polley catastrophe is the latest in an all-too-common series of mining dam failures around the world. In fact, your agency collaborated with the International Commission on Large Dams (ICOLD) on a report highlighting these incidents, titled Tailings Dams: Risks of Dangerous Occurrences. The report detailed incidents of dam failures around the world, from Zimbabwe to Romania to the Philippines, and attributed many of these disasters to faults in design and management. UNEP has also stressed the importance of “introducing contingency measures to ameliorate the impact of tailings dam incidents at the design stage.” 1

Such disasters continue after publication of the report. In fact, just a week after the Mount Polley disaster, two separate mine waste spills occurred in Mexico, polluting nearby rivers with millions of gallons of acid-laced sludge that led to water restrictions and reports of fish and livestock deaths.

2) Knight Piesold and AMEC, two of the engineering firms involved with the construction and management of the Mount Polley tailings dam, have also been involved in designs at tailings dams of other often controversial mining projects, including Yanacocha in Peru, the proposed Pebble mine in Alaska and the Canathuan mine in the Philippines.

3) Long-term safety and stability of tailings dams is a crucial public safety issue, yet there’s no international agency dedicated to oversight of tailings dam safety and many countries impose few if any requirements.

4) The panel of experts that reviewed the Mount Polley tailings dam failure identified critical risk factors, and made a number of key recommendations. These include:

- Creating an independent tailings review board to evaluate tailings dam designs.
- Using Best Available Technology (BAT) that fundamentally shifts tailings storage away from tailings ponds that store water to dry tailings, such as recommendations to:
  - Eliminate surface water from the impoundment,
  - Promote unsaturated conditions in the tailings with drainage provisions, and
  - Achieve dilatant conditions throughout the tailings deposit by compaction.
- Evaluating tailings dam designs for these potential failure modes:
  - Undrained shear failure for dams with silt and clay foundation soils
  - Water balance adequacy, including provisions and contingencies for wet years
  - Filter adequacy, especially for dams containing broadly graded soils or mine waste
- Applying design, construction and safety standards developed specifically for tailings

1 http://www.unep.fr/media/review/vol23si/unep23.pdf
We urge UNEP to:

1. Issue new guidance on tailings dam management based on the recommendations made by the Mount Polley tailings dam review panel, including the shift to dry tailings storage;
2. Advocate for the creation of regulations specific to tailings dams;
3. Encourage the World Bank and other multilateral financial institutions to review the Mount Polley committee recommendations as they overhaul their lending safeguard policies.

In addition, we urge UNEP to recognize that there are certain places where the downstream values are too great to expose to the risks associated with the placement of a tailings dam that must be maintained in perpetuity. The cleanup of modern hardrock mines – without dam failures – can cost hundreds of millions of dollars per mine. With failures, the cost of reclamation could exceed one billion dollars. Such facilities warrant the most rigorous review and the most stringent standards.

We look forward to a response and welcome the opportunity for a more detailed discussion on these matters. Please reply to Payal Sampat at Earthworks (psampat@earthworksaction.org). Thank you.

Sincerely,

Al Gedicks
Center for Alternative Mining Development Policy
La Crosse, Wisconsin
USA

David M. Chambers, Ph.D., P. Geop.
Center for Science in Public Participation
Bozeman, MT
USA

Anna Backmann
Stop Mad Mining
Münster
Germany

Carla García Zendejas
Center for International Environmental Law
Washington, DC
USA

Dan Lewis
Cayoquot Action
Tofino, BC
Canada

Esther Galicia Hernández
Comité del Patrimonio Histórico, Cultural y Ambiental de Puebla
Puebla, Puebla
México

Gene Champagne
Concerned Citizens of Big Bay
Big Bay, MI
USA
Sue Moodie
Council for Public Health in Mining Communities
Whitehorse, Yukon Territory
Canada

Dr. Helen Rosenbaum
Deep Sea Mining Campaign
Project of The Ocean Foundation
Maleny, Qld
Australia

Fernando Ochoa
Defensa Ambiental del Noroeste (DAN)
Ensenada, Baja California
Mexico

Ximena Waarners
EarthRights International
Miraflores, Lima
Peru

Payal Sampat
Earthworks
Washington, DC
USA

Richard Kamp
E-tech International
Santa Fe, NM
USA

Lars Haltbrekken
Friends of the Earth Norway
Oslo
Norway

Karen Orenstein
Friends of the Earth US
Washington, DC
USA

Stan Tomandl & Ann Jacob
Friends of the Stikine Society
Victoria, BC
Canada

Eduardo Sousa
Greenpeace Canada
Vancouver, BC
Canada

Gustavo Ampugnani
Greenpeace Mexico
Mexico City
México

Jennifer Thurston
INFORM
Norwood, CO
USA

Andres McKinley
Institute for Human Rights (IDHUCA) of the Jesuit University, Universidad Centroamericana
San Salvador
El Salvador

Manuel Pérez-Rocha
Institute for Policy Studies - Global Economy Project
Washington, DC
USA

Glevys Rondón
Latin American Mining Monitoring Programme
Bromley
England
Earl L. Hatley
LEAD Agency, Inc.
Vinita, OK
USA

Andrew Whitmore
London Mining Network
London
England

Charles Roche
Mineral Policy Institute
Girrawheen, WA
Australia

Nancy Stuart
Mining Justice Action Committee of
Victoria, BC
Victoria, BC
Canada

Jamie Kneen
Mining Watch Canada
Ottawa, ON
Canada

Nikki Reisch
Global Justice Clinic
New York University School of Law*
New York, NY
USA

*Ris this communication does not purport to represent the institutional views, if any, of NYU.*

Rachel Garwin
Northeastern Minnesotans for Wilderness
Ely, Minnesota
USA

Wendy Russell
Patagonia Area Resource Alliance
Patagonia, AZ
USA

Mary Costello
Rock Creek Alliance
Sandpoint, ID
USA

Jean Gerth
Save our Cabinets
Heron, MT
USA

Lori Andresen
Save our Sky Blue Waters
Duluth, MN
USA

Gabriel Patrón
Sociedad de Historia Natural Niparajá A.C.
La Paz, Baja California Sur
México

Jill Weitz
Trout Unlimited
Juneau, AK
USA

Sarah Fields
Uranium Watch
Moab, Utah
USA